arXiv:1410.6921v3 [math.CA] 15 Oct 2015

Duality transformation formulas for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series of type BC

Yasushi Komori*, Yasuho Masuda†, Masatoshi Noumi‡

Abstract

New duality transformation formulas are proposed for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series of type BC and of type C. Various transformation and summation formulas are derived as special cases to recover some previously known results.

Key words: Multiple elliptic hypergeometric series; Ruijsenaars – van Diejen difference operator; transformation formula; summation formula
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33D67(primary); 33E05; 33E20

1 Introduction

Elliptic hypergeometric series were first introduced by Frenkel – Turaev [3] in the context of elliptic solutions of the Yang – Baxter equations. They found elliptic extensions of the Jackson summation formula, called the Frenkel – Turaev summation formula, and the Bailey transformation formula for terminating very well-poised basic hypergeometric series. As generalizations of these results, summation and transformation formulas for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series have been studied by several authors. Warnaar [17] extended the Frenkel – Turaev summation formula to a multiple summation formula of type C_n. Also, Rosengren [13] investigated summation and transformation formulas associated with classical root systems.

A generalization of the elliptic Bailey transformation to multiple elliptic hypergeometric series, called the *duality transformation formula* of type A_n, was obtained independently by Kajihara – Noumi [7] and by Rosengren [14]. A characteristic feature of this formula is that the summations of the two sides are taken over multi-indices of possibly different dimensions, relevant to different sets of variables. As a special case it includes a multiple summation formula of type A_n which generalizes the Frenkel – Turaev summation. This duality transformation formula can also be interpreted as a kernel identity for the commuting family of Ruijsenaars difference operators ([9]). We refer the

*Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University, Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan.
Email: komori@rikkyo.ac.jp
†Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan.
Email: myasuho@math.kobe-u.ac.jp
‡Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan.
Email: noumi@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

reader to Kajihara [6] for the duality transformation formula of type A_n for basic hypergeometric series and its relation to Macdonald q-difference operators.

In this paper we investigate duality transformation formulas for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series of type BC_n and of type C_n. We formulate two kinds of duality transformations, one on subsets and the other on multi-indices. In our approach we first establish duality transformations on subsets combining some kernel identities for Ruijsenaars – van Diejen difference operators in one variable and the elliptic Cauchy determinant formula. Duality transformations on multi-indices are then constructed from those on subsets through the method of multiple principal specialization as proposed in [7]. We also discuss some summation formulas which are obtained from our duality transformations. It would be an important problem to clarify the relation between our results for multiple series and summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric integrals of type BC_n as discussed by van Diejen – Spiridonov [2] and Rains [11]. In a previous work, the second author [10] derived a duality transformation formula for basic hypergeometric series of type BC_n from a kernel identity for the commuting family of van Diejen q-difference operators. We expect that our transformation formulas can also be understood in a context similar to that of [10].

The present paper is organized as follows. We begin by proposing in Section 2 kernel identities for Ruijsenaars – van Diejen difference operators in one variable $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ of type BC_1 and $R(x;\boldsymbol{a})$ of type C_1. In this paper we call a difference operator in n variables *of type* BC_n (resp. *of type* C_n) if it is invariant under the action of the hyperoctahedral group of degree n, and contains *eight* (resp. *four*) parameters $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, \ldots)$ possibly with some constraints. In Section 3 we construct a duality transformation formula *on subsets* (Theorem 3.1) of type BC_n applying the product of one variable difference operators to an elliptic version of the Cauchy determinant. We also derive a duality transformation on subsets (Theorem 3.2) of type C_n from the BC_n case by a specialization of parameters.

We apply the method of multiple principal specialization as in Kajihara – Noumi [7] to the transformation formulas on subsets for constructing duality transformation formulas *on multi-indices*. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the (C_m, C_n) case (Theorem 4.2); it contains some remarks on special cases for comparison with previously known results, including the summation formula of type C_n by Rosengren [13]. As an application of Theorem 4.2 we also derive a transformation formula of Karlsson – Minton type (Theorem 4.8), which gives a multiple generalization of the Karlsson – Minton type transformation due to Rosengren – Schlosser [15]. In Section 5 we propose a duality transformation of type (BC_m, BC_n) (Theorem 5.1). With an additional constraint on the parameters, this formula is further generalized to a duality transformation intertwining multiple series of different degrees.

2 Kernel identities in one variable

In this section, we introduce a Ruijsenaars – van Diejen type difference operator $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ of type BC_1 with complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_7)$ and $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3)$, and prove a kernel identity for this operator. We also derive a kernel identity for a difference operator $R(x;\boldsymbol{a})$ of type C_1 with four parameters $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$.

2.1 Ruijsenaars – van Diejen operators and kernel identities

Let $[u]$ be a non-zero entire odd function in one variable u, satisfying the functional equation

$$[x \pm u][y \pm v] - [x \pm v][y \pm u] = [x \pm y][u \pm v], \tag{2.1}$$

where we used an abbreviated notation $[x \pm y] := [x+y][x-y]$. We denote by Ω the set of all zeros of $[u]$. Then Ω is a closed discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{C}$. It is known that such functions are classified, up to constant multiples, into the following three types by the rank of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{(0) rational case:} & e\big(au^2\big)\, u & (\Omega = 0), \\ \text{(1) trigonometric case:} & e\big(au^2\big)\sin(\pi u/\omega_1) & (\Omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1), \\ \text{(2) elliptic case:} & e\big(au^2\big)\,\sigma(u;\Omega) & (\Omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2), \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e(u) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}u)$. We denote by $\sigma(u;\Omega)$ the Weierstrass sigma function

$$\sigma(u;\Omega) = u \prod_{\omega \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{u}{\omega}\right) e^{\frac{u}{\omega} + \frac{u^2}{2\omega^2}}$$

associated with the period lattice $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$, where ω_1 and ω_2 are two $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent complex numbers. In the following, we confine ourselves to the elliptic case $[u] = e\left(au^2\right)\sigma(u;\Omega)$. In this setting, the function $[u]$ has the following quasi-periodicity:

$$[u+\omega] = \epsilon_\omega e\left(\eta_\omega\left(u + \frac{\omega}{2}\right)\right)[u] \quad (\omega \in \Omega),$$

where $\eta_\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ $(\omega \in \Omega)$ and

$$\epsilon_\omega = \begin{cases} 1 & (\omega \in 2\Omega), \\ -1 & (\omega \notin 2\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We also denote $\omega_3 = -\omega_1 - \omega_2, \omega_0 = \omega_4 = 0$ and set $\epsilon_r = \epsilon_{\omega_r}, \eta_r = \eta_{\omega_r}$ for $r = 0,1,2,3$. In this notation, the duplication formula for $[u]$ is given by

$$[2x] = 2[x] \prod_{s=1}^{3} \frac{[x - \frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}{[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}. \tag{2.3}$$

We remark that the following formula follows from (2.3):

$$\prod_{0 \le s \le 3; s \ne r} [\tfrac{1}{2}(\omega_r - \omega_s)] = \epsilon_r e(\tfrac{1}{2}\eta_r\omega_r) \prod_{s=1}^{3} [-\tfrac{1}{2}\omega_s] \quad (r = 0,1,2,3). \tag{2.4}$$

Fixing a complex parameter $\delta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\delta \cap \Omega = \{0\}$, we define the difference operator $L(x; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = A^+(x;\boldsymbol{a})T_x^{\delta} + A^-(x;\boldsymbol{a})T_x^{-\delta} + A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}), \\ &A^+(x;\boldsymbol{a}) = \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[x+a_p]}{[2x][2x+\delta]}, \quad A^-(x;\boldsymbol{a}) = \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[x-a_p]}{[2x][2x-\delta]}, \end{aligned}$$

$$A_r^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|c) = \epsilon_r e((\delta - \tfrac{\omega_r}{2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p)\eta_r)\dfrac{[x \pm c]\prod_{p=0}^7[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm x][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm c]},$$

$$A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = \sum_{r=0}^3 A_r^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|c_r),$$

where T_x^δ stands for the δ-shift operator $T_x^\delta f(x) = f(x+\delta)$. Note that this operator is invariant under the sign change $x \to -x$. This operator $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ is essentially equivalent to the BC_1 Ruijsenaars – van Diejen operator defined by [1, 8, 16] (See also [9]). We remark that the parameters $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3)$ in $A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ are superfluous. In fact, from

$$\frac{1}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm x]}\left(\frac{[x\pm c]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm c]} - \frac{[x\pm c']}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm c']}\right) = \frac{[c'\pm c]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm c][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm c']},$$

we obtain

$$A_r^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|c) - A_r^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|c') = A_r^0(c';\boldsymbol{a}|c).$$

The difference operator (2.13) in [9] with $m=1$ is a special case $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c'})$ where $c_r' = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+\kappa$ $(r=0,1,2,3)$, and hence, for arbitrary $\boldsymbol{c}=(c_0,c_1,c_2,c_3)$ it differs from $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ by an additive constant.

For the difference operator $L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$, the following kernel identity holds.

Theorem 2.1. *Define the parameters* $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_7)$ *by* $b_p = \delta - a_p$ $(p = 0, 1, \ldots, 7)$.
(1) *Under the balancing condition* $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p = 4\delta$, *the following identity holds*:

$$L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})\left(\frac{1}{[x\pm y]}\right) = L(y;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})\left(\frac{1}{[x\pm y]}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

(2) *Under the balancing condition* $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p = 2\delta$, *the following identity holds*:

$$L(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0})\cdot 1 = \frac{\prod_{p=1}^7[a_0+a_p]}{[2a_0+\delta]}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a_0} = (a_0, a_0, a_0, a_0)$.

We will give a proof of Theorem 2.1 in the next subsection. We now consider the special case $\{a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7\} = \{-\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r - \delta)\ (r=0,1,2,3)\}$. Then, from the duplication formula (2.3) we obtain

$$\prod_{p=4}^7[x+a_p] = \prod_{r=0}^3[x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}] = \frac{[2x+\delta]\prod_{s=1}^3[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}{2}$$

and

$$\prod_{p=4}^7[y+b_p] = \prod_{r=0}^3[-y-\tfrac{\delta}{2}-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}] = \frac{[-2y-\delta]\prod_{s=1}^3[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}{2}.$$

We also note that $A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = A^0(y;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c}) = 0$ and $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p = \sum_{p=0}^3 a_p + 2\delta$. By this specialization we obtain the kernel identity for a difference operator of type C from Theorem 2.1 after replacing δ by $\frac{\delta}{2}$.

We define the difference operator $R(x;\boldsymbol{a})$ of type C_1 with four parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)$ as follows:

$$R(x;\boldsymbol{a})=B^+(x;\boldsymbol{a})T_x^{\delta/2}+B^-(x;\boldsymbol{a})T_x^{-\delta/2},$$
$$B^+(x;\boldsymbol{a})=\frac{\prod_{p=0}^3[x+a_p]}{[2x]},\quad B^-(x;\boldsymbol{a})=-\frac{\prod_{p=0}^3[x-a_p]}{[2x]}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,b_2,b_3)$ by $b_p=\delta/2-a_p$ $(p=0,1,2,3)$.*
(1) *Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^3 a_p=\delta$, the following identity holds*:

$$R(x;\boldsymbol{a})\left(\frac{1}{[x\pm y]}\right)=R(y;\boldsymbol{b})\left(\frac{1}{[y\pm x]}\right).$$

(2) *Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^3 a_p=0$, the following identity holds*:

$$R(x;\boldsymbol{a})\cdot 1=\prod_{p=1}^3[a_0+a_p].$$

2.2 Proof of the kernel identity

We first recall the formula for partial fraction decomposition (see [5, (11.7.6), p. 332]).

Proposition 2.3. *For variables $z,(x_1,\dots,x_N)$ and $(y_1,\dots,y_N)$, we have*

$$[c]\prod_{j=1}^N\frac{[z-y_j]}{[z-x_j]}=\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{[z-x_i+c]}{[z-x_i]}\frac{\prod_{1\le j\le N}[x_i-y_j]}{\prod_{1\le j\le N;j\ne i}[x_i-x_j]},$$

where $c=\sum_{i=1}^N(x_i-y_i)$.

Applying this formula, we decompose the function

$$F(z)=\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[z+a_p-\frac{1}{2}\delta]}{[z\pm x-\frac{1}{2}\delta][z\pm y+\frac{1}{2}\delta]\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}[z+d_r-\frac{1}{2}\delta]}$$

into partial fractions with $c=\sum_{p=0}^{m+3}a_p-\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}d_r-2\delta$. Then by setting $c=0$ we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For two sets of parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{m+3})$ and $\boldsymbol{d}=(d_0,d_1,\dots,d_{m-1})$ satisfying $\sum_{p=0}^{m+3}a_p-\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}d_r=2\delta$ and $d_r\not\equiv d_{r'}\pmod\Omega$ $(0\le r,r'\le m-1;r\ne r')$, we have the following identity*:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[x+a_p]}{[2x]\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}[x+d_r]}\frac{[x\pm y]}{[(x+\delta)\pm y]}+\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[-x+a_p]}{[-2x]\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}[-x+d_r]}\frac{[x\pm y]}{[(x-\delta)\pm y]}\\&+\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[y+b_p]}{[2y]\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}[y+e_r]}\frac{[x\pm y]}{[x\pm(y+\delta)]}+\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[-y+b_p]}{[-2y]\prod_{r=0}^{m-1}[-y+e_r]}\frac{[x\pm y]}{[x\pm(y-\delta)]}\\&+\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{m+3}[a_p-d_r]}{\prod_{r'=0;r'\ne r}^{m-1}[d_{r'}-d_r]}\frac{[x\pm y]}{[-d_r\pm x][-e_r\pm y]}=0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

where $b_p=\delta-a_p$ $(p=0,1,\dots,m+3)$ and $e_r=\delta-d_r$ $(r=0,1,\dots,m-1)$.

Note that this formula is invariant under the sign changes $x \to -x$ and $y \to -y$.

We remark that a function of the form $\frac{[x\pm y]}{[a\pm x][a'\pm y]}$ with $a \equiv a' \pmod{\Omega}$ can be separated into a sum of two functions, one depending only on x and the other only on y. In fact, for $\omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[a \pm x][a+\omega \pm y]} &= e(-\eta_\omega(2a+\omega))\frac{[x \pm y]}{[a \pm x][a \pm y]} \\ &= e(-\eta_\omega(2a+\omega))\left(\frac{[x \pm b]}{[a \pm x][a \pm b]} - \frac{[y \pm b]}{[a \pm y][a \pm b]}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We assume $d_r \equiv e_r \pmod{\Omega}$ in (2.7). Then from $e_r = \delta - d_r$ $(r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1)$ we have $2d_r \equiv \delta \pmod{\Omega}$. In view of the condition $d_r \not\equiv d_{r'} \pmod{\Omega}$ $(0 \le r, r' \le m-1; r \ne r')$ we find that the terms involving the factor $\frac{[x\pm y]}{[-d_r\pm x][-e_r\pm y]}$ in (2.7) can be separated when $m = 4$ and $d_r = \frac{1}{2}(\delta - \omega_r)$ $(r = 0, 1, 2, 3)$.

We prove Theorem 2.1 (1) by using Lemma 2.4 for $m = 4$ and $d_r = \frac{1}{2}(\delta - \omega_r)$ $(r = 0, 1, 2, 3)$. From $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p - \sum_{r=0}^{3} d_r = 2\delta$, we obtain $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p = 4\delta$. We compute each term of (2.7) in this setting. From formula (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[x+a_p]}{[2x]\prod_{r=0}^{3}[x+d_r]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[(x+\delta) \pm y]} &= \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[x+a_p]}{[2x]\prod_{r=0}^{3}[x+\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\omega_r)]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[(x+\delta) \pm y]} \\ &= \frac{2}{\prod_{s=1}^{3}[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[x+a_p]}{[2x][2x+\delta]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[(x+\delta) \pm y]}, \\ \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[y+b_p]}{[2y]\prod_{r=0}^{3}[y+e_r]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[x \pm (y+\delta)]} &= \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[y+b_p]}{[2y]\prod_{r=0}^{3}[y+\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\omega_r)]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[x \pm (y+\delta)]} \\ &= -\frac{2}{\prod_{s=1}^{3}[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[y+b_p]}{[2y][2y+\delta]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[x \pm (y+\delta)]}.\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.4) the last term in (2.7) is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{r=0}^{3}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[a_p-d_r]}{\prod_{r'=0;r'\ne r}^{3}[d_{r'}-d_r]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[-d_r \pm x][-e_r \pm y]} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{3}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[a_p-\frac{1}{2}(\delta-\omega_r)]}{\prod_{r'=0;r'\ne r}^{3}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\omega_{r'})]}\frac{[x \pm y]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta) \pm x][\frac{1}{2}(-\delta-\omega_r) \pm y]} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{3}\epsilon_r e((-\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2})\eta_r)\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{\prod_{s=1}^{3}[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}\frac{[x \pm c_r]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta) \pm x][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta) \pm c_r]} \\ &- \sum_{r=0}^{3}\epsilon_r e((-\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2})\eta_r)\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+b_p]}{\prod_{s=1}^{3}[-\frac{1}{2}\omega_s]}\frac{[y \pm c_r]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta) \pm y][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta) \pm c_r]}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the identity (2.5).

We next prove Theorem 2.1 (2) by specializing the variable y and the parameters $\boldsymbol{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3)$ in (2.5) as $y = b_0$ and $\boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{b_0} = (b_0, b_0, b_0, b_0)$. Then from $A^-(y; \boldsymbol{b}) = A^0(y; \boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c}) = 0$, we have

$$A^+(x;\boldsymbol{a})\frac{[x \pm (a_0-2\delta)]}{[x+\delta \pm (\delta-a_0)]} + A^-(x;\boldsymbol{a})\frac{[x \pm (a_0-2\delta)]}{[x-\delta \pm (\delta-a_0)]} + A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{b_0})\frac{[x \pm (a_0-2\delta)]}{[x \pm (\delta-a_0)]} = A^+(b_0;\boldsymbol{b}). \tag{2.8}$$

If we replace a_0 by $a_0+2\delta$, after a non-trivial recombination process of factors this formula is translated into the equality

$$A^+(x;\boldsymbol{a})+A^-(x;\boldsymbol{a})+A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0})=\frac{\prod_{p=1}^7[a_0+a_p]}{[2a_0+\delta]}$$

under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p=2\delta$. For example, the third term of (2.8) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_r^0(x;a_0+2\delta,a_1,\dots,a_7|-\delta-a_0)\frac{[x\pm a_0]}{[x\pm(-\delta-a_0)]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p-\delta)\eta_r)\frac{[x\pm a_0][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_0+2\delta]\prod_{p=1}^7[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm x][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm(-\delta-a_0)]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p-\delta)\eta_r)\frac{[x\pm a_0][\frac{1}{2}\omega_r+\frac{3}{2}\delta+a_0]\prod_{p=1}^7[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm x][\frac{1}{2}\omega_r-\frac{3}{2}\delta-a_0][\frac{1}{2}\omega_r+\frac{1}{2}\delta+a_0]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p)\eta_r)\frac{[x\pm a_0]\prod_{p=1}^7[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm x][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)-a_0]}\\
&=A_r^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|a_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.6).

3 Duality transformation formulas on subsets

In this section, we derive duality transformation formulas of type BC and C by combining Theorem 2.1 (1) and the Cauchy determinant formula for the function $[u]$ in the spirit of [7].

3.1 Duality transformation of type BC on subsets

For the variables $z=(z_1,\dots,z_N)$ and $w=(w_1,\dots,w_N)$, we investigate an elliptic version of the Cauchy determinant

$$D(z|w):=\det\left(\frac{1}{[z_i\pm w_j]}\right)_{i,j=1}^N.$$

This determinant is factorized as follows:

$$D(z|w)=(-1)^{\binom{N}{2}}\frac{\prod_{1\le i<j\le N}[z_i\pm z_j][w_i\pm w_j]}{\prod_{1\le i,j\le N}[z_i\pm w_j]}. \tag{3.1}$$

Formula (3.1) is proved for example by using the functional relation (2.1) and Jacobi's identity for determinants. It is a variant of the elliptic Cauchy determinant due to Frobenius [4].

By applying the difference operator $E(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}):=\prod_{i=1}^N(u+L(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}))$ with a parameter u to the determinant $D(z|w)$, from Theorem 2.1 (1) we have

$$E(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})D(z|w)=\det\left(\frac{u}{[z_i\pm w_j]}+L(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})\frac{1}{[z_i\pm w_j]}\right)_{i,j=1}^N$$

$$= \det\left(\frac{u}{[z_i \pm w_j]} + L(w_j; \boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})\frac{1}{[z_i \pm w_j]}\right)_{i,j=1}^{N}$$
$$= E(w; \boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})D(z|w)$$

under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p = 4\delta$ and $b_p = \delta - a_p$ $(p = 0, 1, \ldots, 7)$. Since $D(z|w) = (-1)^{N^2} D(w|z)$, by dividing both sides by $D(z|w)$ we have

$$\frac{E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})D(z|w)}{D(z|w)} = \frac{E(w; \boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})D(w|z)}{D(w|z)}.$$

We expand the operator $E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ as

$$E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = \sum_{r=0}^{N} u^{N-r} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ |I|=r}} \sum_{I_+ \cup I_0 \cup I_- = I} \prod_{i \in I_+} A^{+}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i \in I_0} A^{0}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) \prod_{i \in I_-} A^{-}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i \in I_+} T_{z_i}^{\delta} \prod_{i \in I_-} T_{z_i}^{-\delta}.$$

Here the third summation is taken over the all triples (I_+, I_0, I_-) of subsets of I such that

$$I_+ \cup I_0 \cup I_- = I, \quad |I_+| + |I_0| + |I_-| = |I|.$$

If we set $\epsilon_i = +, 0, -$ according as i belongs to $I_+, I_0, I_-(i = 1, \ldots, N)$, $E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ is alternatively expressed as

$$E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = \sum_{r=0}^{N} u^{N-r} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ |I|=r}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm,0\}^I} \prod_{i \in I} A^{\epsilon_i}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i \in I} T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i \delta},$$

where we have omitted the parameters $\boldsymbol{c}$ in $A^0(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$. Applying the shift operator $\prod_{i=1}^{N} T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i \delta}$ $(\epsilon_i \in \{\pm, 0\})$ to $D(z|w)$, we have

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{N} T_{z_i}^{\epsilon_i \delta} D(z|w)}{D(z|w)} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{[(z_i + \epsilon_i \delta) \pm (z_j + \epsilon_j \delta)]}{[z_i \pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ 1 \le k \le N}} \frac{[z_i \pm w_k]}{[(z_i + \epsilon_i \delta) \pm w_k]}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E(z; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})D(z|w)}{D(z|w)} =& \sum_{r=0}^{N} u^{N-r} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ |I|=r}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{\pm,0\}^I} \prod_{i \in I} A^{\epsilon_i}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \\
& \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{[(z_i + \epsilon_i \delta) \pm (z_j + \epsilon_j \delta)]}{[z_i \pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le N \\ 1 \le k \le N}} \frac{[z_i \pm w_k]}{[(z_i + \epsilon_i \delta) \pm w_k]} \\
=& \sum_{r=0}^{N} u^{N-r} \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ |I|=r}} \sum_{I_+ \cup I_- \cup I_0 = I} \prod_{i \in I_+} A^{+}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i \in I_0} A^{0}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) \prod_{i \in I_-} A^{-}(z_i; \boldsymbol{a}) \\
& \cdot \prod_{\{i,j\} \subset I_+} \frac{[z_i + z_j + 2\delta]}{[z_i + z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\} \subset I_-} \frac{[z_i + z_j - 2\delta]}{[z_i + z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i \in I_+ \\ j \in I_-}} \frac{[z_i - z_j + 2\delta]}{[z_i - z_j]}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]}.$$

Here $\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_\epsilon}$ ($\epsilon=\pm,0$) stands for the product over all two-element subsets of I_ϵ. By computing $\frac{E(w;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})D(w|z)}{D(w|z)}$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *For any complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_7)$, we define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,\dots,b_7)$ by $b_p=\delta-a_p$ ($p=0,1,\dots,7$). Suppose that the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p=\sum_{p=0}^7 b_p=4\delta$ is satisfied. Then, for two sets of variables $z=(z_1,\dots,z_N)$ and $w=(w_1,\dots,w_N)$, the following identity holds for $r=0,1,\dots,N$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\dots,N\}\\ |I|=r}} \sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=I} \prod_{i\in I_+} A^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_0} A^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) \prod_{i\in I_-} A^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\\
&\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}\\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{K\subset\{1,\dots,N\}\\ |K|=r}} \sum_{K_+\cup K_-\cup K_0=K} \prod_{k\in K_+} A^+(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{k\in K_0} A^0(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c}) \prod_{k\in K_-} A^-(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})\\
&\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l-2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]}\\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k+\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k-\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\delta\pm z_i]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\delta\pm z_i]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This formula is invariant under the action of the Weyl group of type C on z variables and w variables, respectively.

3.2 Duality transformation of type C on subsets

We specialize the parameters in Theorem 3.1 by setting $\{a_4,a_5,a_6,a_7\}=\{-\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\ (r=0,1,2,3)\}$. Since $A^0(x;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})=0$ under this specialization, the terms with $I_0\neq\emptyset$ in the left-hand side of (3.2) vanish. Similarly, the terms with $K_0\neq\emptyset$ in the right-hand side become zero. Replacing the parameter δ by $\delta/2$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *For the parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)$, we define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,b_2,b_3)$ by $b_p=\delta/2-a_p$ ($p=0,1,2,3$). Suppose that the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^3 a_p=\sum_{p=0}^3 b_p=\delta$ is satisfied. Then the following identity holds for two sets of variables $z=(z_1,\dots,z_N)$ and $w=(w_1,\dots,w_N)$ for $r=0,1,\dots,N$:*

$$\sum_{\substack{I\subset\{1,\dots,N\}\\ |I|=r}} \sum_{I_+\cup I_-=I} \prod_{i\in I_+} B^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_-} B^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j-\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]} \\
&\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm w_k]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\frac{\delta}{2}\pm w_k]} \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{\substack{K\subset\{1,\dots,N\}\\ |K|=r}} \sum_{K_+\cup K_-=K} \prod_{k\in K_+} B^+(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{k\in K_-} B^-(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}) \\
&\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l-\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm z_i]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\frac{\delta}{2}\pm z_i]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}
$$

4 Duality transformation formulas of type C

4.1 Duality transformations of type C on multi-indices

To derive a duality transformation formula for type C, we apply in advance the shift operator $\prod_{i=1}^N T_{z_i}^{\delta/2} \prod_{k=1}^N T_{w_k}^{\delta/2}$ to (3.3) with $r=N$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{I_+\cup I_-=\{1,\dots,N\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} B^+(z_i+\tfrac{\delta}{2};\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_-} B^-(z_i+\tfrac{\delta}{2};\boldsymbol{a}) \\
&\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j+\delta]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j]}{[z_i+z_j+\delta]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[z_i+\delta\pm(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[z_i\pm(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \\
&= (-1)^N \sum_{K_+\cup K_-=\{1,\dots,N\}} \prod_{k\in K_+} B^+(w_k+\tfrac{\delta}{2};\boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{k\in K_-} B^-(w_k+\tfrac{\delta}{2};\boldsymbol{b}) \\
&\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l+\delta]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l]}{[w_k+w_l+\delta]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[w_k+\delta\pm(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ 1\le i\le N}} \frac{[w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[w_k\pm(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}
$$

We take two multi-indices $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)\in\mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=N$, where $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$ and $|\beta|=\sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k$. We specialize the variables in (4.1) by setting $z=$

$(x)_\alpha, x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $w = (y)_\beta, y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z = (x)_\alpha &:= (x_1, x_1+\delta, \dots, x_1+(\alpha_1-1)\delta; \dots\dots; x_m, x_m+\delta, \dots, x_m+(\alpha_m-1)\delta), \\ w = (y)_\beta &:= (y_1, y_1+\delta, \dots, y_1+(\beta_1-1)\delta; \dots\dots; y_n, y_n+\delta, \dots, y_n+(\beta_n-1)\delta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

This specialization is called the *multiple principal specialization*.

We first consider the principal specialization $z = (x, x+\delta, \dots, x+(N-1)\delta)$ of a single block. We replace the index set $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by $I := \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Then (4.1) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm\}^I} \prod_{i\in I} B^{\epsilon_i}(z_i+\tfrac{\delta}{2}; \boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I} \frac{[(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_i\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (z_j+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_j\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (z_j+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I\\ k\in I}} \frac{[(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[(z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_i\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (w_k+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \\ &= (-1)^N \sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm\}^I} \prod_{k\in I} B^{\epsilon_k}(w_k+\tfrac{\delta}{2}; \boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset I} \frac{[(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_k\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (w_l+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_l\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (w_l+\frac{\delta}{2})]} \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in I\\ i\in I}} \frac{[(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]}{[(w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+\epsilon_k\frac{\delta}{2}) \pm (z_i+\frac{\delta}{2})]}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In the left-hand side of (4.3), the term corresponding to $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ vanishes if the sign sequence includes the pattern $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}) = (+,-)$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, N-2)$. If it does not contain the pattern $+-$, it is an increasing sequence

$$(\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1}) = (-\cdots-\overset{\mu}{+}\cdots+).$$

Then such a sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ is determined by a non-negative integer μ such that $0 \le \mu \le N$; the number of $-$ signs is given by μ. Namely,

$$I_- = [0, \mu), \quad I_+ = [\mu, N).$$

When we apply the multiple principal specialization $z = (x)_\alpha, w = (y)_\beta$ as in (4.2), we replace the index set $\{1, \dots, N\}$ of the z variables by

$$\{1, \dots, N\} = \{(i,a) | 1 \le i \le m,\ 0 \le a < \alpha_i\}$$

and write $z_{(i,a)} = x_i + a\delta$. Then all the pairs (I_-, I_+) of subsets giving rise to non-zero terms are parametrized by the multi-indices $0 \le \mu \le \alpha$, namely $0 \le \mu_i \le \alpha_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_- &= \{(i,a) | 1 \le i \le m,\ 0 \le a < \mu_i\}, \\ I_+ &= \{(i,a) | 1 \le i \le m,\ \mu_i \le a < \alpha_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Specializing the left-hand side of formula (4.1) by $z = (x)_\alpha$ and $w = (y)_\beta$ with this parametrization, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\prod_{p=0}^3 [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} \frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\ 1\le k\le n}} \prod_{r=0}^{\beta_k-1} \frac{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm (y_k+r\delta+\frac{\delta}{2})]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+\delta \pm (y_k+r\delta+\frac{\delta}{2})]_{\alpha_i}}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{0\le\mu\le\alpha}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\prod_{p=0}^{3}\frac{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}-a_p]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\mu_i}}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i]}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[x_i\pm x_j]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i,j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j]_{\mu_i}[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\mu_i}[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\mu_i}}\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\1\le k\le n}}\frac{[x_i+y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+\beta_k\delta]_{\mu_i}[x_i-y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i+y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}]_{\mu_i}[x_i-y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}-\beta_k\delta]_{\mu_i}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here $[u]_k=[u][u+\delta]\cdots[u+(k-1)\delta]$ and $[u\pm v]_k=[u+v]_k[u-v]_k$ for $k=0,1,2\ldots$.

For each $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m$, we introduce the function

$$\Phi_\alpha(x|u):=\Phi_\alpha(x_1,\ldots,x_m|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$$

in the variables x and u by

$$\Phi_\alpha(x|u)=\sum_{0\le\mu\le\alpha}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i]}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[x_i\pm x_j]}$$
$$\cdot\prod_{1\le i,j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j]_{\mu_i}[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\mu_i}[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\mu_i}}\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\1\le k\le n}}\frac{[x_i+u_k]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i-u_k+\delta]_{\mu_i}}$$

We remark that when $m=1(\alpha=N)$ we have

$$\Phi_N(x|u_1,\ldots,u_n)={}_{n+6}V_{n+5}(2x;x+u_1,\ldots,x+u_n,-N\delta), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$${}_{r+5}V_{r+4}(a_0;a_1,\ldots,a_r)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{[a_0+2k\delta]}{[a_0]}\frac{[a_0]_k}{[\delta]_k}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{[a_i]_k}{[\delta+a_0-a_i]_k}.$$

We also note that

$$\Phi_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m-1},0)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m|u_1,\ldots,u_n)=\Phi_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{m-1})}(x_1,\ldots,x_{m-1}|u_1,\ldots,u_n)$$

and

$$\Phi_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m|u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1},\tfrac{\delta}{2})=\Phi_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m|u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1}).$$

In this notation of $\Phi_\alpha(x|u)$, (4.4) is expressed as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\1\le k\le n}}\prod_{r=0}^{\beta_k-1}\frac{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm(y_k+r\delta+\frac{\delta}{2})]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+\delta\pm(y_k+r\delta+\frac{\delta}{2})]_{\alpha_i}}$$
$$\cdot\,\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n}\right).$$

Similarly, by applying the same specialization to the right-hand side of (4.1) we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n$ be two multi-indices with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=M$. We assume the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3}a_p=\delta$. We define the parameters b_p $(p=0,1,2,3)$ by $b_p=\frac{\delta}{2}-a_p$ $(p=$*

$0,1,2,3$). *For two sets of variables* $x=(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ *and* $y=(y_1,\dots,y_n)$, *the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\\
&\cdot\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n}\right)\\
&=(-1)^M\prod_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\1\le i\le m}}\frac{[y_k-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha_i\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}]_{\beta_k}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_{\beta_k}}{[2y_k+\delta]_{\beta_k}}\prod_{1\le k<l\le n}\frac{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l+1)\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k+y_l+\delta]_{\beta_k}}\\
&\cdot\Phi_\beta\left(y\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\le i\le m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We now generalize this formula to the case where $|\alpha|\neq|\beta|$. In the notation of Theorem 4.1, we choose $y_n=b_0-\frac{\delta}{2}=-a_0$ and set $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\beta_k=N$ and $\beta_n=M-N$. In the left-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n-1},\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_0+(M-N)\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}+a_0\right)\\
&=\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_0+(M-N)\delta,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{1\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in the right-hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_\beta\left(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1},b_0-\tfrac{\delta}{2}\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\le i\le m}\right)\\
&=\Phi_{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{n-1})}\left(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}\middle|\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_0-(M-N)\delta,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_p)_{1\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\le i\le m}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

after non-trivial cancellations. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_0-(M-N)\delta]_{\alpha_i}\prod_{p=1}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\\
&\cdot\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_0+(M-N)\delta,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{1\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n-1}\right)\\
&=(-1)^M\prod_{p=1}^{3}[\delta-a_0-a_p]_{M-N}\prod_{\substack{1\le k\le n-1\\1\le i\le m}}\frac{[y_k-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha_i\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}]_{\beta_k}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_0+(M-N)\delta]_{\beta_k}\prod_{p=1}^{3}[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_{\beta_k}}{[2y_k+\delta]_{\beta_k}}\prod_{1\le k<l\le n-1}\frac{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l+1)\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k+y_l+\delta]_{\beta_k}}\\
&\cdot\Phi_{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_{n-1})}\left(y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}\middle|\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_0-(M-N)\delta,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_p)_{1\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\le i\le m}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $n-1,a_0-(M-N)\delta,b_0+(M-N)\delta$ by n,a_0,b_0 respectively, we have a duality transformation formula for type C in the case $|\alpha|\ge|\beta|$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\\
&\cdot\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^N \prod_{p=1}^{3} [a_0 + a_p]_{M-N} \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le i \le m}} \frac{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha_i \delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2}]_{\beta_k}}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3} [y_k + \frac{\delta}{2} + b_p]_{\beta_k}}{[2y_k + \delta]_{\beta_k}} \prod_{1 \le k < l \le n} \frac{[y_k + y_l + (\beta_l + 1)\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k + y_l + \delta]_{\beta_k}}$$
$$\cdot \Phi_\beta \left(y \middle| (\tfrac{\delta}{2} - b_p)_{0 \le p \le 3}, (\tfrac{\delta}{2} + x_i + \alpha_i \delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2} - x_i)_{1 \le i \le m} \right), \tag{4.6}$$

under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = (N - M + 1)\delta$. Further, since

$$\prod_{p=1}^{3} [a_0 + a_p]_{M-N} = \frac{\prod_{p=1}^{3} [a_0 + a_p]_M}{\prod_{1 \le p < q \le 3} [b_p + b_q]_N}$$

under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = (N - M + 1)\delta$, we find that (4.6) is expressed as follows:

$$\prod_{1 \le p < q \le 3} [b_p + b_q]_N \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3} [x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} + a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i + \delta]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{[x_i + x_j + (\alpha_j + 1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i + x_j + \delta]_{\alpha_i}}$$
$$\cdot \Phi_\alpha \left(x \middle| (\tfrac{\delta}{2} - a_p)_{0 \le p \le 3}, (\tfrac{\delta}{2} + y_k + \beta_k \delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2} - y_k)_{1 \le k \le n} \right)$$
$$= (-1)^N \prod_{p=1}^{3} [a_0 + a_p]_M \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le i \le m}} \frac{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha_i \delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2}]_{\beta_k}}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3} [y_k + \frac{\delta}{2} + b_p]_{\beta_k}}{[2y_k + \delta]_{\beta_k}} \prod_{1 \le k < l \le n} \frac{[y_k + y_l + (\beta_l + 1)\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k + y_l + \delta]_{\beta_k}}$$
$$\cdot \Phi_\beta \left(y \middle| (\tfrac{\delta}{2} - b_p)_{0 \le p \le 3}, (\tfrac{\delta}{2} + x_i + \alpha_i \delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2} - x_i)_{1 \le i \le m} \right). \tag{4.7}$$

It is easily checked that this identity is valid in the case $|\alpha| \le |\beta|$ as well.

Theorem 4.2 (Duality transformation formula of type C)**.** *For any complex parameters* $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$*, we define* $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ *by* $b_p = \frac{\delta}{2} - a_p$ $(p = 0, 1, 2, 3)$*. Take two multi-indices* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $|\alpha| = M, |\beta| = N$*. Under the balancing condition* $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = (N - M + 1)\delta$*, for two sets of variables* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ *and* $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ *the following identity holds*:

$$\prod_{1 \le p < q \le 3} [b_p + b_q]_N \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3} [x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} + a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i + \delta]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{[x_i + x_j + (\alpha_j + 1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i + x_j + \delta]_{\alpha_i}}$$
$$\sum_{0 \le \mu \le \alpha} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{p=0}^{3} \frac{[x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} - a_p]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} + a_p]_{\mu_i}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[2x_i + 2\mu_i \delta]}{[2x_i]} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{[(x_i + \mu_i \delta) \pm (x_j + \mu_j \delta)]}{[x_i \pm x_j]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{1 \le i, j \le m} \frac{[x_i + x_j]_{\mu_i} [x_i - x_j - \alpha_j \delta]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i + x_j + (\alpha_j + 1)\delta]_{\mu_i} [x_i - x_j + \delta]_{\mu_i}} \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le m \\ 1 \le k \le n}} \frac{[x_i + y_k + \frac{\delta}{2} + \beta_k \delta]_{\mu_i} [x_i - y_k + \frac{\delta}{2}]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i + y_k + \frac{\delta}{2}]_{\mu_i} [x_i - y_k + \frac{\delta}{2} - \beta_k \delta]_{\mu_i}}$$
$$= (-1)^N \prod_{p=1}^{3} [a_0 + a_p]_M \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le i \le m}} \frac{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2} - \alpha_i \delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k - x_i + \frac{\delta}{2}]_{\beta_k}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_{\beta_k}}{[2y_k+\delta]_{\beta_k}} \prod_{1\le k<l\le n} \frac{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l+1)\delta]_{\beta_k}}{[y_k+y_l+\delta]_{\beta_k}}$$

$$\sum_{0\le\nu\le\beta} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\prod_{p=0}^{3} \frac{[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}-b_p]_{\nu_k}}{[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_{\nu_k}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[2y_k+2\nu_k\delta]}{[2y_k]} \prod_{1\le k<l\le n} \frac{[(y_k+\nu_k\delta)\pm(y_l+\nu_l\delta)]}{[y_k\pm y_l]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le k,l\le n} \frac{[y_k+y_l]_{\nu_k}[y_k-y_l-\beta_l\delta]_{\nu_k}}{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l+1)\delta]_{\nu_k}[y_k-y_l+\delta]_{\nu_k}} \prod_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\1\le i\le m}} \frac{[y_k+x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+\alpha_i\delta]_{\nu_k}[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}-x_i]_{\nu_k}}{[y_k+x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}]_{\nu_k}[y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}-x_i-\alpha_i\delta]_{\nu_k}}$$

Through the limiting procedure we see that Theorem 4.2 holds in the rational and trigonometric cases as well, with $[u]$ specified as in (2.2). In the trigonometric case, it gives rise to a one parameter extension of the transformation formula for multiple basic hypergeometric series, due to Rosengren [12, Corollary 4.4].

By setting $\alpha=(1,1,\dots,1)$, $\beta=(1,1,\dots,1)$ and $z_i=x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}, w_k=y_k+\frac{\delta}{2}$ in Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following generalization of the case $r=N$ of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 4.3. *Consider two sets of variables $z=(z_1,\dots,z_M), w=(w_1,\dots,w_N)$. We assume the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3}a_p=(N-M+1)\delta$. We define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,b_2,b_3)$ by $b_p=\frac{\delta}{2}-a_p$ $(p=0,1,2,3)$. Then, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{1\le p<q\le 3}[b_p+b_q]_N \sum_{I_+\sqcup I_-=\{1,\dots,M\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} B^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_-} B^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\\
&\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j-\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}\\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm w_k]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\frac{\delta}{2}\pm w_k]}\\
&=(-1)^N \prod_{p=1}^{3}[a_0+a_p]_M \sum_{K_+\sqcup K_-=\{1,\dots,N\}} \prod_{k\in K_+} B^+(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{k\in K_-} B^-(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})\\
&\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l-\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]}\\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm z_i]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\frac{\delta}{2}\pm z_i]}.
\end{aligned}$$

This corollary has already been proved in [11, Theorem 7.9] by using a different method. We also remark that Theorem 4.2 can be derived from Corollary 4.3 by multiple principal specialization.

4.2 Some special cases

In this subsection, we derive some formulas from duality transformation formula of type C. Considering Theorem 4.2 for $\beta=0$ case, we obtain a C_m generalization of the Frenkel – Turaev sum, due to Rosengren [13, Theorem 7.1].

Corollary 4.4. *Take a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $|\alpha| = M$. Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = -(M-1)\delta$, the following identity holds*:

$$\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3}\right) = \prod_{p=1}^{3}[a_0+a_p]_M \prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}.$$

By setting $n=1$ in Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following transformation formula.

Corollary 4.5. *Let M and N be two non-negative integers. For any complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3)$, we define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_0,b_1,b_2,b_3)$ by $b_p = \frac{\delta}{2} - a_p$ $(p=0,1,2,3)$. Take a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ with $|\alpha| = M$. Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = (N-M+1)\delta$, for two sets of variables $x = (x_1,\dots,x_m)$ and y the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{1\le p<q\le 3}[b_p+b_q]_N \prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_{\alpha_i}}{[2x_i+\delta]_{\alpha_i}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+x_j+\delta]_{\alpha_i}}\\
&\cdot \Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_p)_{0\le p\le 3},(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y+N\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y)\right)\\
&= (-1)^N \prod_{p=1}^{3}[a_0+a_p]_M \prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[y-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}-\alpha_i\delta]_N}{[y-x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}]_N}\frac{\prod_{p=0}^{3}[y+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_N}{[2y+\delta]_N}\\
&\cdot {}_{2m+10}V_{2m+9}(2y;y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_0,\dots,y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_3,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y-x_i,\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y+x_i+\alpha_i\delta)_{1\le i\le m},-N\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

When $m=1$ in Corollary 4.5, we obtain ${}_{12}V_{11}$ transformation formula.

Corollary 4.6. *Let M and N be two non-negative integers. Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{3} a_p = (N-M+1)\delta$, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_{12}V_{11}(2x;x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_0,x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_1,x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_2,x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_3,x+y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}+N\delta,x-y+\tfrac{\delta}{2},-M\delta)\\
&= \prod_{p=1}^{3}\frac{[a_0+a_p]_M}{[(1-M)\delta-a_0-a_p]_N}\prod_{p=0}^{3}\frac{[y+\frac{\delta}{2}+b_p]_N}{[x+\frac{\delta}{2}+a_p]_M}\frac{[2x+\delta]_M}{[2y+\delta]_N}\frac{[y-x+\frac{\delta}{2}-M\delta]_N}{[y-x+\frac{\delta}{2}]_N}\\
&\cdot {}_{12}V_{11}(2y;y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_0,y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_1,y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_2,y+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-b_3,y+x+\tfrac{\delta}{2}+M\delta,y-x+\tfrac{\delta}{2},-N\delta),
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_p = \frac{\delta}{2} - a_p$ $(p=0,1,2,3)$.

As far as we have checked, these transformation formulas of Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6 seem to be new even in the case of basic hypergeometric series. We also remark that Corollary 4.6 can also be proved directly by iterating the elliptic Bailey transformation.

If $M > N$ and $a_0+a_1 = -L\delta$ $(L=0,1,2,\dots,M-N-1)$ in (4.6), the right-hand side vanishes and hence we obtain

$$\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|\tfrac{\delta}{2}+a_1+L\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_1,\tfrac{\delta}{2}+a_3+(M-N-L-1)\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-a_3,(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n}\right)=0.$$

Regarding a_1, a_3 as additional y variables, we have the following zero formula.

Corollary 4.7. *Take two multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = |\beta| + 1$. Then,*

$$\Phi_\alpha\left(x\middle|(\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta,\tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\le k\le n}\right)=0.$$

4.3 Multiple Karlsson – Minton type transformation

In this subsection, we derive a multiple Karlsson – Minton type transformation from Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.8. *For any non-negative integers $N \geq 0, r \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$, take two multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = N + r + s, |\beta| = N$. For two sets of variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ and two parameters u and v, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[v+\delta \pm v]_s [u+\delta \pm v]_r \prod_{k=1}^n [y_k+\delta \pm v]_{\beta_k}}{\prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm v]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot \Phi_{(\beta,r)}\left(y, u \middle| v, -v-s\delta, (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}\right) \\
&= \frac{[u+\delta \pm u]_r [v+\delta \pm u]_s \prod_{k=1}^n [y_k+\delta \pm u]_{\beta_k}}{\prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm u]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot \Phi_{(\beta,s)}\left(y, v \middle| u, -u-r\delta, (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}\right). \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any non-negative integers r, s, we take two multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = N + r + s - l$ and $|\beta| = N + r$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r + s$. We rewrite

$$\Phi_\alpha\left(x \middle| \tfrac{\delta}{2}+a-(l+1)\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-a, (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+u+r\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-u), (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+v+s\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-v), (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+y_k+\beta_k\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-y_k)_{1\leq k\leq n}\right)$$

in two ways, one by applying (4.7) with $\boldsymbol{a} = (a, (l+1)\delta - a, v, -s\delta - v)$ and the other with $\boldsymbol{a} = (a, (l+1)\delta - a, u, -r\delta - u)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[v+\delta \pm v]_s [u+\delta \pm v]_r \prod_{k=1}^n [y_k+\delta \pm v]_{\beta_k}}{[\delta - a \pm v]_l \prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm v]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot \Phi_{(\beta,r)}\left(y, u \middle| v, -v-s\delta, (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}, ((l+1)\delta - a, a)\right) \\
&= \frac{[u+\delta \pm u]_r [v+\delta \pm u]_s \prod_{k=1}^n [y_k+\delta \pm u]_{\beta_k}}{[\delta - a \pm u]_l \prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm u]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot \Phi_{(\beta,s)}\left(y, v \middle| u, -u-r\delta, (\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, \tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}, ((l+1)\delta - a, a)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

after non-trivial cancellation. Setting $x_{m+1} = \frac{\delta}{2} - a, \alpha_{m+1} = l$ and replacing $m+1$ by m, we obtain formula (4.8). □

By setting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 4.8, from (4.5) we obtain the following transformation.

Corollary 4.9. *For any non-negative integers $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$, take a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ such that $|\alpha| = r + s$. For the variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and two parameters u and v, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[v+\delta \pm v]_s [u+\delta \pm v]_r}{\prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm v]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot {}_{2m+8}V_{2m+7}(2u; u+v, u-v-s\delta, (u+\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, u+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}, -r\delta) \\
&= \frac{[u+\delta \pm u]_r [v+\delta \pm u]_s}{\prod_{i=1}^m [x_i+\frac{\delta}{2} \pm u]_{\alpha_i}} \\
&\cdot {}_{2m+8}V_{2m+7}(2v; v+u, v-u-r\delta, (v+\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta, v+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}, -s\delta).
\end{aligned}$$

By setting $s=0$ in Corollary 4.9, we obtain the following summation formula.

Corollary 4.10. *Take a multi-index $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m$ such that $|\alpha|=M$. For the variables $x=(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ and two parameters u and v, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned}&{}_{2m+8}V_{2m+7}(2u;u+v,u-v,(u+\tfrac{\delta}{2}+x_i+\alpha_i\delta,u+\tfrac{\delta}{2}-x_i)_{1\le i\le m},-M\delta)\\&=\frac{[u+\delta\pm u]_M}{[u+\delta\pm v]_M}\prod_{i=1}^m\frac{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm v]_{\alpha_i}}{[x_i+\frac{\delta}{2}\pm u]_{\alpha_i}}.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.9 and 4.10 have been proved by Rosengren and Schlosser in [15].

5 Duality transformation formulas of type BC

5.1 Duality transformation of type BC on multi-indices

In this subsection, we derive a BC type duality transformation formula from the case $r=N$ of Theorem 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=\{1,\dots,N\}}\prod_{i\in I_+}A^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\prod_{i\in I_0}A^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})\prod_{i\in I_-}A^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\\&\cdot\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+}\frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-}\frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\j\in I_-}}\frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}\\&\cdot\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]}\\&=\sum_{K_+\cup K_-\cup K_0=\{1,\dots,N\}}\prod_{k\in K_+}A^+(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})\prod_{k\in K_0}A^0(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c})\prod_{k\in K_-}A^-(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})\\&\cdot\prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+}\frac{[w_k+w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]}\prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-}\frac{[w_k+w_l-2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]}\prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\l\in K_-}}\frac{[w_k-w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]}\\&\cdot\prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\l\in K_0}}\frac{[w_k+\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]}\prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\l\in K_0}}\frac{[w_k-\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]}\prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\1\le i\le N}}\frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\delta\pm z_i]}\prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\1\le i\le N}}\frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\delta\pm z_i]}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.1}$$

We replace the index set $\{1,\dots,N\}$ by $I:=\{0,1,\dots,N-1\}$. Then (5.1) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm,0\}^I}\prod_{i\in I}A^{\epsilon_i}(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I}\frac{[(z_i+\epsilon_i\delta)\pm(z_j+\epsilon_j\delta)]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I\\k\in I}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\epsilon_i\delta\pm w_k]}\\&=\sum_{\epsilon\in\{\pm,0\}^I}\prod_{k\in I}A^{\epsilon_k}(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})\prod_{\{k,l\}\subset I}\frac{[(w_k+\epsilon_k\delta)\pm(w_l+\epsilon_l\delta)]}{[w_k\pm w_l]}\prod_{\substack{k\in I\\i\in I}}\frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\epsilon_k\delta\pm z_i]}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

We take two multi-indices $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)\in\mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta=(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha|=|\beta|=N$, where $|\alpha|=\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_i$ and $|\beta|=\sum_{k=1}^n\beta_k$. We specialize $z=(z_0,\dots,z_{N-1})$ and $w=(w_0\dots,w_{N-1})$

in (5.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} z = (x)_\alpha &:= (x_1, x_1+\delta, \dots, x_1+(\alpha_1-1)\delta; \dots\dots; x_m, x_m+\delta, \dots, x_m+(\alpha_m-1)\delta), \\ w = (y)_\beta &:= (y_1, y_1+\delta, \dots, y_1+(\beta_1-1)\delta; \dots\dots; y_n, y_n+\delta, \dots, y_n+(\beta_n-1)\delta). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We first consider the principal specialization $z = (x, x+\delta, \dots, x+(N-1)\delta)$ of a single block. In the left-hand side of (5.2), the term corresponding to $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ vanishes if the sign sequence includes some of the following patterns:

$$\begin{aligned} +0: &\ \epsilon_i = +,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = 0 && (0 \le i \le N-2), \\ 0-: &\ \epsilon_i = 0,\ \epsilon_{i+1} = - && (0 \le i \le N-2), \\ +*-: &\ \epsilon_i = +,\ \epsilon_{i+1} \in \{\pm, 0\},\ \epsilon_{i+2} = - && (0 \le i \le N-3). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Supposing that a sign sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ does not contain any pattern in (5.4), we count the number r of occurrences of the pattern $+-$. If it does not contain the pattern $+-$ $(r = 0)$, it is an increasing sequence

$$(\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1}) = (- \cdots - \overset{\nu}{0} \cdots 0 \overset{\mu}{+} \cdots +).$$

Then such a sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ is determined by two non-negative integers ν and μ such that $0 \le \nu \le \mu \le N$; the number of $-$ and $+$ signs are given by ν and by $N-\mu$, respectively. Namely,

$$I_- = [0, \nu), \quad I_0 = [\nu, \mu), \quad I_+ = [\mu, N).$$

When $r > 0$, we number the places of $-$ in the patterns $+-$ as follows:

$$|\overset{0}{\cdot} \cdots\cdots | + \overset{i_1}{-} | \cdots | + \overset{i_2}{-} | \cdots\cdots\cdots | + \overset{i_r}{-} | \cdots\cdots \overset{N-1}{\cdot} |,$$

where $\{i_p\}_{1 \le p \le r}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r < N; \quad i_{p+1} - i_p \ge 2 \ (p = 1, \dots, r-1).$$

Then by the assumption that it does not contain the third pattern of (5.4), we find that $\epsilon_{i_p-2} \ne +$ and $\epsilon_{i_p+1} \ne -$. Hence such a sign sequence $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ should be of the form

$$|\underbrace{\overset{0}{-} \cdots -}_{\mu^-} \overset{\nu}{0} \cdots 0| + \overset{i_1}{-} |0 \cdots 0| + \overset{i_2}{-} |0 \cdots\cdots\cdots 0| + \overset{i_r}{-} |0 \cdots 0 \underbrace{\overset{\mu}{+} \cdots \overset{N-1}{+}}_{\mu^+}|. \tag{5.5}$$

We denote the number of $-$ in $[0, i_1-2]$ by μ^- and the number of $+$ in $[i_r+1, N-1]$ by μ^+. If we set $\nu = \mu^-$ and $\mu = N - \mu^+$, $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{N-1})$ is determined by the non-negative integer sequence

$$0 \le \nu < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_r < \mu \le N; \quad i_{p+1} - i_p \ge 2 \quad (p = 1, \dots, r-1).$$

In terms of this sequence, the corresponding subsets I_-, I_0, I_+ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_- &= [0, \nu) \cup \{i_p | p = 1, \dots, r\}, \\ I_0 &= [\nu, \mu) \setminus \{i_p, i_p - 1 | p = 1, \dots, r\}, \\ I_+ &= [\mu, N) \cup \{i_p - 1 | p = 1, \dots, r\}. \end{aligned}$$

We now analyze the contribution of the $+-$ patterns in the expression

$$\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I}\frac{[(z_i+\epsilon_i\delta)\pm(z_j+\epsilon_j\delta)]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I\\ k\in I}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\epsilon_i\delta\pm w_k]}. \tag{5.6}$$

Supposing that $(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_{i+1})=(+,-)$, we set $(z_i,z_{i+1})=(a,a+\delta)$. Then

$$\frac{\Delta(z_i+\epsilon_i\delta,z_{i+1}+\epsilon_{i+1}\delta)}{\Delta(z_i,z_{i+1})}=\frac{\Delta(a+\delta,a)}{\Delta(a,a+\delta)}=-1,$$

where $\Delta(z_i,z_j):=[z_i\pm z_j]$. We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Delta(z_i+\epsilon_i\delta,z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(z_i,z_k)}\frac{\Delta(z_{i+1}+\epsilon_{i+1}\delta,z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(z_{i+1},z_k)}&=\frac{\Delta(a+\delta,z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(a,z_k)}\frac{\Delta(a,z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(a+\delta,z_k)}\\&=\frac{\Delta(z_i,z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(z_i,z_k)}\frac{\Delta(z_{i+1},z_k+\epsilon_k\delta)}{\Delta(z_{i+1},z_k)},\end{aligned}$$

for $k\neq i,i+1$ and

$$\frac{\Delta(z_i+\epsilon_i\delta,w_l)}{\Delta(z_i,w_l)}\frac{\Delta(z_{i+1}+\epsilon_{i+1}\delta,w_l)}{\Delta(z_{i+1},w_l)}=\frac{\Delta(a+\delta,w_l)}{\Delta(a,w_l)}\frac{\Delta(a,w_l)}{\Delta(a+\delta,w_l)}=1$$

for $l=0,\dots,N-1$. This means that the pattern $+-$ in the pair (z_i,z_{i+1}) gives the same effects as the pattern 00 to other variables z_k $(k\neq i,i+1)$ and w_l $(0\le l\le N-1)$. Hence we see that the expression (5.6) for the sign sequence $\epsilon=(\epsilon_0,\dots,\epsilon_{N-1})$ (5.5) coincides with that for the increasing sequence $\epsilon=(-\cdots-0\cdots0+\cdots+)$ obtained by replacing 00 for $+-$ (with same ν,μ) up to multiplication by $(-1)^r$.

We next consider applying the multiple principal specialization (5.3) to (5.2). We replace the index set $I=\{0,\dots,N-1\}$ by

$$I=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m}I^{(i)},\quad I^{(i)}=\{(i,k)|k\in[0,\alpha_i)\}$$

and set $z_{(i,k)}:=x_i+(k-1)\delta$. By the same argument above, we find that the term corresponding to a sign sequence ϵ vanishes if it contains either $+0,0+$ or $+*-$ in some block. For each $i=1,\dots,m$, we denote by r_i the number of patterns $+-$ in the i-th block $I^{(i)}$. Similarly to the case of a single block, we number the positions of $-$ in the patterns $+-$ by $\xi_{i,p}$ $(1\le i\le m, 1\le p\le r_i)$. For two multi-indices $\nu,\mu\in\mathbb{N}^m$, we write $\nu\le\mu$ if $\nu_i\le\mu_i$ for all $i=1,\dots,m$. Then the set of sign sequences ϵ that give rise to non-zero terms is parametrized graphically as

$$|\cdots\cdots\cdots;|\overset{0}{-}\cdots-\overset{\nu_i}{0}\cdots0|+\overset{\xi_{i,1}}{-}|0\cdots0|+\overset{\xi_{i,2}}{-}|0\cdots\cdots\cdots0|+\overset{\xi_{i,r_i}}{-}|0\cdots0\overset{\mu_i}{+}\cdots\overset{\alpha_i-1}{+}|;\cdots\cdots\cdots| \tag{5.7}$$

by two multi-indices $\nu,\mu\in\mathbb{N}^m$ with $0\le\nu\le\mu\le\alpha$ and a sequence $(\xi_{i,p})_{1\le i\le m,\,1\le p\le r_i}$ of positive integers such that

$$0\le\nu_i<\xi_{i,1}<\xi_{i,2}<\cdots<\xi_{i,r_i}<\mu_i\le\alpha_i;\quad \xi_{i,p+1}-\xi_{i,p}\ge2\ (1\le i\le m,\ 1\le p\le r_i).$$

As we have seen above, each $+-$ pattern in a block behaves like 00 in relation to other variables. Denoting the term corresponding to

$$|\cdots\cdots\cdots;|\overset{0}{-}\cdots-\overset{\nu_i}{0}\cdots\cdots0\overset{\mu_i}{+}\cdots\overset{\alpha_i-1}{+}|;\cdots\cdots\cdots|$$

by $F^{\alpha}_{\mu,\nu}(x;y)$, we find that the term corresponding to the sign sequence (5.7) is equal to

$$(-1)^{\sum_i r_i}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\prod_{p=1}^{r_i}\frac{A^+(x_i+(\xi_{i,p}-1)\delta;\boldsymbol{a})A^-(x_i+\xi_{i,p}\delta;\boldsymbol{a})}{A^0(x_i+(\xi_{i,p}-1)\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})A^0(x_i+\xi_{i,p}\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})}F^{\alpha}_{\mu,\nu}(x;y).$$

By using the formula

$$\prod_{1\le i\ne j\le m}\frac{[x_i-x_j-\mu_j\delta]_{\mu_i}}{[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\mu_i}}=\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[x_i-x_j]}{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)-(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]},$$

we can explicitly compute $F^{\alpha}_{\mu,\nu}(x;y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}F^{\alpha}_{\mu,\nu}(x;y)&=(-1)^{|\nu|+|\alpha-\mu|}\prod_{i=1}^{m}A^-(x_i;\boldsymbol{a})_{\nu_i}A^0(x_i+\nu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})_{\mu_i-\nu_i}A^+(x_i+\mu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a})_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}\\
&\cdot\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{[2x_i+2(\nu_i-1)\delta]}{[2x_i-2\delta]}\frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i+2\alpha_i\delta]}\\
&\cdot\prod_{1\le i<j\le m}\frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\nu_j\delta-\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j-\delta)]}\frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\alpha_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}\\
&\cdot\prod_{1\le i,j\le m}\frac{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}\frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}\\
&\cdot\prod_{1\le i,j\le m}\frac{[x_i+x_j-2\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}}\frac{[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\nu_i}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{1\le i,j\le m}\frac{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i+\alpha_j)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}\frac{[-x_i+x_j-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-\alpha_i+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\1\le k\le n}}\frac{[x_i+y_k+(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+y_k-\delta]_{\nu_i}}\frac{[x_i-y_k]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-y_k-\beta_k\delta]_{\nu_i}}\\
&\cdot\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\1\le k\le n}}\frac{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i+\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}\frac{[-x_i+y_k-(\alpha_i-\beta_k)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+y_k-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the shorthand notation $f(u)_k:=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}f(u+i\delta)$ $(k=0,1,2,\ldots)$. Applying the same specialization to the right-hand side of (5.2), we obtain the following duality transformation formula of type BC.

Theorem 5.1 (Duality transformation formula of type BC)**.** *For any complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\ldots,a_7)$, we define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_7)$ by $b_p=\delta-a_p$ $(p=0,1,\ldots,7)$. Take*

two multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. Under the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p = 4\delta$, the following identity holds for two sets of variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{0 \le \nu \le \mu \le \alpha} (-1)^{|\nu|+|\alpha-\mu|} \prod_{i=1}^{m} A^-(x_i;\boldsymbol{a})_{\nu_i} A^+(x_i+\mu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a})_{\alpha_i-\mu_i} C_{\mu_i-\nu_i}(x_i+\nu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) \\
&\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[2x_i+2(\nu_i-1)\delta]}{[2x_i-2\delta]} \frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i+2\alpha_i\delta]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le m} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta) \pm (x_j+\nu_j\delta-\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta) \pm (x_j-\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta) \pm (x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\alpha_i\delta) \pm (x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le i,j \le m} \frac{[(x_i-\delta) \pm (x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta) \pm (x_j+\mu_j\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta) \pm (x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta) \pm (x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]} \frac{[x_i+x_j-2\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}} \frac{[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\nu_i}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le i,j \le m} \frac{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i+\alpha_j)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \frac{[-x_i+x_j-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-\alpha_i+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le m \\ 1 \le k \le n}} \frac{[x_i+y_k+(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+y_k-\delta]_{\nu_i}} \frac{[x_i-y_k]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-y_k-\beta_k\delta]_{\nu_i}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le m \\ 1 \le k \le n}} \frac{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i+\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \frac{[-x_i+y_k-(\alpha_i-\beta_k)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+y_k-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \\
&= \sum_{0 \le \kappa \le \lambda \le \beta} (-1)^{|\kappa|+|\beta-\lambda|} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A^-(y_k;\boldsymbol{b})_{\kappa_k} A^+(y_k+\lambda_k\delta;\boldsymbol{b})_{\beta_k-\lambda_k} C_{\lambda_k-\kappa_k}(y_k+\kappa_k\delta;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{c}) \\
&\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[2y_k+2(\kappa_k-1)\delta]}{[2y_k-2\delta]} \frac{[2y_k+2\lambda_k\delta]}{[2y_k+2\beta_k\delta]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le k < l \le n} \frac{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta) \pm (y_l+\kappa_l\delta-\delta)]}{[(y_k-\delta) \pm (y_l-\delta)]} \frac{[(y_k+\lambda_k\delta) \pm (y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]}{[(y_k+\beta_k\delta) \pm (y_l+\beta_l\delta)]} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le k,l \le n} \frac{[(y_k-\delta) \pm (y_l+\beta_l\delta)]}{[(y_k-\delta) \pm (y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]} \frac{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta) \pm (y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]}{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta) \pm (y_l+\beta_l\delta)]} \frac{[y_k+y_l-2\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l-1)\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \frac{[y_k-y_l-\beta_l\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k-y_l+\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1 \le k,l \le n} \frac{[-y_k-y_l-(\beta_k+\beta_l)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k-y_l-(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}} \frac{[-y_k+y_l-\beta_k\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k+y_l+(\beta_l-\beta_k+1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le i \le m}} \frac{[y_k+x_i+(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k+x_i-\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \frac{[y_k-x_i]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k-x_i-\alpha_i\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \\
&\cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ 1 \le i \le m}} \frac{[-y_k-x_i-(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k-x_i-(\beta_k+\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}} \frac{[-y_k+x_i-(\beta_k-\alpha_i)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k+x_i-\beta_k\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$C_\sigma(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = \sum_{0\le r\le \sigma/2} (-1)^r \sum_{(\xi_p)_p} \prod_{p=1}^{r} A^+(z+(\xi_p-1)\delta;\boldsymbol{a})A^-(z+\xi_p\delta;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{\substack{k=0\\ k\neq \xi_p-1,\xi_p}}^{\sigma-1} A^0(z+k\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}). \quad (5.8)$$

Here, the second summation is taken over all sequences $(\xi_p)_{1\le p\le r}$ *of positive integers satisfying the following conditions*:

$$0<\xi_1<\cdots<\xi_r<\sigma, \quad \xi_{p+1}-\xi_p\ge 2 \ (p=1,\dots,r-1).$$

We remark that $C_\sigma(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ has the following determinant formula:

$$C_\sigma(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} A_0^0 & A_1^- & & & \\ A_0^+ & A_1^0 & A_2^- & & \\ & A_1^+ & A_2^0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & A_{\sigma-1}^- \\ & & & A_{\sigma-2}^+ & A_{\sigma-1}^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.9)$$

where $A_\xi^\epsilon = A^\epsilon(z+\xi\delta;\boldsymbol{a})$ ($\epsilon\in\{\pm,0\}$) and we have omitted the parameters $\boldsymbol{c}$ in $A^0(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$. This can be shown from the fact that both the right-hand sides of (5.8) and (5.9) satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation:

$$F_{\sigma+2} = F_{\sigma+1}A_{\sigma+1}^0 - F_\sigma A_\sigma^+ A_{\sigma+1}^- \quad (\sigma\ge 0)$$

with the initial conditions

$$F_0 = 1, \qquad F_1 = A_0^0.$$

5.2 Case where $a_7 = a_0 + \delta$

When two of the a parameters differ by δ, the transformation formula of Theorem 5.1 can be generalized further to the case $|\alpha|\neq|\beta|$. We first consider to generalize the case $r=N$ of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 5.2. *Let M and N be two non-negative integers with $M\ge N$. For a set of complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_7)$, we assume the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^7 a_p = (4-2M+2N)\delta$ and $a_7 = a_0+\delta$. We define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,\dots,b_7)$ by $b_0=\delta-a_7, b_7=\delta-a_0$ and $b_p=\delta-a_p$ $(p=1,\dots,6)$. For two sets of variables $z=(z_1,\dots,z_M)$ and $w=(w_1,\dots,w_N)$, the following identity holds*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=\{1,\dots,M\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} A^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_0} A^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0}) \prod_{i\in I_-} A^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \\ &\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]} \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}} \frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \prod_{p=1}^{6}[a_0+a_p]_{M-N} \sum_{K_+\cup K_-\cup K_0=\{1,\dots,N\}} \prod_{k\in K_+} A^+(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}) \prod_{k\in K_0} A^0(w_k;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{b_0}) \prod_{k\in K_-} A^-(w_k;\boldsymbol{b})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l-2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k+\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k-\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ 1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\delta\pm z_i]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ 1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\delta\pm z_i]}, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a_0}=(a_0,a_0,a_0,a_0)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{b_0}=(b_0,b_0,b_0,b_0)$.

Proof. We begin with the duality transformation formula (5.2) of type BC on subsets. Setting $I=\{1,\dots,N,N+1,\dots,M\}$ and $a_1=a_0-\delta, c_r=b_0$ $(r=0,1,2,3)$, we consider the partial principal specialization $(w_{N+1},\dots,w_M)=(b_0,b_0+\delta,\dots,b_0+(M-N-1)\delta)$ of a single block. By the same argument as in Subsection 5.1, the term corresponding to $\epsilon=(\epsilon_1,\dots,\epsilon_M)$ in the right-hand side becomes zero if the sign sequence $(\epsilon_{N+1},\dots,\epsilon_M)$ includes some of the patterns $+0, 0-$ and $+*-$. Further, we find that the term with $\epsilon_{N+1}\in\{0,-\}$ or $\epsilon_{N+2}=-$ vanishes by the definition of $A^0(x;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{b_0})$ and $A^-(x;\boldsymbol{b})$. Hence the corresponding term vanishes unless $(\epsilon_{N+1},\epsilon_{N+2})=(+,+)$. Since the patterns $+0$ and $+*-$ are not allowed, only the terms with $(\epsilon_{N+1},\epsilon_{N+2},\dots,\epsilon_M)=(+,+,\dots,+)$ remain. Multiplying the both sides by $\prod_{i=1}^{M}\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]}{[z_i\pm b_0]}$, we compute the non-zero terms. We first compute by using $b_0=\delta-a_0=-a_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_r^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{b_0})\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]}{[z_i\pm b_0]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^{7}a_p)\eta_r)\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]\prod_{p=0}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm z_i][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm b_0]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^{7}a_p)\eta_r)\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]\prod_{p=0}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm z_i][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r+\delta)-a_0][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_1]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((\delta-\tfrac{\omega_r}{2}-\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^{7}a_p)\eta_r)\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]\prod_{p=2}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{-2\epsilon_r e((\frac{\delta}{2}-a_0)\eta_r)[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm z_i]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note here that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_0-(M-N)\delta][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_1-(M-N)\delta]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+b_0+(M-N)\delta][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)-b_0-(M-N)\delta)]}\\
&=\frac{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_0-(M-N)\delta]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+\delta-a_0+(M-N)\delta]}\\
&=\epsilon_r e((-\tfrac{\delta}{2}+a_0-(M-N)\delta)\eta_r)\frac{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_0-(M-N)\delta]}{[\frac{1}{2}(-\omega_r+\delta)-a_0+(M-N)\delta]}\\
&=-\epsilon_r e((-\tfrac{\delta}{2}+a_0-(M-N)\delta)\eta_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$A_r^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{b_0})\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]}{[z_i\pm b_0]}$$

$$= \epsilon_r e((\delta - \tfrac{\omega_r}{2} - \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p + (M-N)\delta)\eta_r)\dfrac{[z_i \pm (b_0+(M-N)\delta)]\prod_{p=2}^{7}[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_p]}{2[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)\pm z_i]}$$

$$\cdot \frac{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_0-(M-N)\delta][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+a_1-(M-N)\delta]}{[\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)+b_0+(M-N)\delta][\frac{1}{2}(\omega_r-\delta)-b_0-(M-N)\delta)]}$$

$$= A_r^0(z_i; a_0-(M-N)\delta, a_1-(M-N)\delta, a_2, \dots, a_7 | b_0+(M-N)\delta).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(LHS) = \sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=\{1,\dots,M\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} A^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\frac{[z_i-b_0-(M-N)\delta][z_i-b_0-(M-N-1)\delta]}{[z_i-b_0][z_i-b_0+\delta]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i\in I_0} A^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{b_0})\frac{[z_i\pm(b_0+(M-N)\delta)]}{[z_i\pm b_0]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i\in I_-} A^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})\frac{[z_i+b_0+(M-N)\delta][z_i+b_0+(M-N-1)\delta]}{[z_i+b_0][z_i+b_0-\delta]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+}\frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-}\frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}}\frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]}$$

$$= \sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=\{1,\dots,M\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} A^+(z_i; a_0-(M-N)\delta, a_1-(M-N)\delta, a_2,\dots,a_7)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i\in I_0} A^0(z_i; a_0-(M-N)\delta, a_1-(M-N)\delta, a_2,\dots,a_7|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\boldsymbol{0}})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i\in I_-} A^-(z_i; a_0-(M-N)\delta, a_1-(M-N)\delta, a_2,\dots,a_7)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+}\frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-}\frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}}\frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}}\frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ 1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i+\delta\pm w_k]}\prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ 1\le k\le N}}\frac{[z_i\pm w_k]}{[z_i-\delta\pm w_k]}.$$

Here $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\boldsymbol{0}} = (\widetilde{b}_0, \widetilde{b}_0, \widetilde{b}_0, \widetilde{b}_0)$ and $\widetilde{b}_0 = b_0+(M-N)\delta$. By the same computation, we have

$$(RHS) = \prod_{p=2}^{7}[b_0+b_p]_{M-N}\sum_{K_+\cup K_-\cup K_0=\{1,\dots,N\}}\prod_{k\in K_+}A^+(w_k; b_0+(M-N)\delta, b_1+(M-N)\delta, b_2,\dots,b_7)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k\in K_0} A^0(w_k; b_0+(M-N)\delta, b_1+(M-N)\delta, b_2,\dots,b_7|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{b}}_{\boldsymbol{0}})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k\in K_-} A^-(w_k; b_0+(M-N)\delta, b_1+(M-N)\delta, b_2,\dots,b_7)$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_+} \frac{[w_k+w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\{k,l\}\subset K_-} \frac{[w_k+w_l-2\delta]}{[w_k+w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_-}} \frac{[w_k-w_l+2\delta]}{[w_k-w_l]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k+\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ l\in K_0}} \frac{[w_k-\delta\pm w_l]}{[w_k\pm w_l]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_+\\ 1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k+\delta\pm z_i]} \prod_{\substack{k\in K_-\\ 1\le i\le M}} \frac{[w_k\pm z_i]}{[w_k-\delta\pm z_i]}.$$

From this, we obtain formula (5.10) by replacing $(a_0-(M-N)\delta, a_1-(M-N)\delta, a_2, \dots, a_7), (b_0+(M-N)\delta, b_1+(M-N)\delta, b_2, \dots, b_7)$ with $(a_7, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_6), (b_0, b_7, b_1, \dots, b_6)$ respectively. □

As a special case $N=0$ of Theorem 5.2, we obtain a summation formula on subsets.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose that the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p = (4-2M)\delta$ is satisfied. If $a_7 = a_0+\delta$, the following identity holds*:

$$\sum_{I_+\cup I_-\cup I_0=\{1,\dots,M\}} \prod_{i\in I_+} A^+(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{i\in I_0} A^0(z_i;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0}) \prod_{i\in I_-} A^-(z_i;\boldsymbol{a})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_+} \frac{[z_i+z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\{i,j\}\subset I_-} \frac{[z_i+z_j-2\delta]}{[z_i+z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_-}} \frac{[z_i-z_j+2\delta]}{[z_i-z_j]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{i\in I_+\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i+\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]} \prod_{\substack{i\in I_-\\ j\in I_0}} \frac{[z_i-\delta\pm z_j]}{[z_i\pm z_j]}$$
$$= \prod_{p=1}^{6} [a_0+a_p]_M.$$

Considering the multiple principal specialization of (5.10) as in Subsection 5.1, we obtain the following transformation formula.

Theorem 5.4. *Let M and N be two non-negative integers with $M\ge N$. Take two multi-indices $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m$ and $\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha|=M$ and $|\beta|=N$. For a set of complex parameters $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_7)$, we assume the balancing condition $\sum_{p=0}^{7} a_p = (4-2M+2N)\delta$ and $a_7=a_0+\delta$. We define the parameters $\boldsymbol{b}=(b_0,b_1,\dots,b_7)$ by $b_0=\delta-a_7, b_7=\delta-a_0$ and $b_p=\delta-a_p$ $(p=1,\dots,6)$. For two sets of variables $x=(x_1,\dots,x_m)$ and $y=(y_1,\dots,y_n)$, the following identity holds*:

$$\sum_{0\le\nu\le\mu\le\alpha} (-1)^{|\nu|+|\alpha-\mu|} \prod_{i=1}^{m} A^-(x_i;\boldsymbol{a})_{\nu_i} A^+(x_i+\mu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a})_{\alpha_i-\mu_i} C_{\mu_i-\nu_i}(x_i+\nu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0})$$
$$\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[2x_i+2(\nu_i-1)\delta]}{[2x_i-2\delta]} \frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i+2\alpha_i\delta]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\nu_j\delta-\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j-\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\alpha_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}$$
$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i,j\le m} \frac{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]} \frac{[x_i+x_j-2\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}} \frac{[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\nu_i}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i,j\le m} \frac{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i+\alpha_j)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \frac{[-x_i+x_j-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-\alpha_i+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\ 1\le k\le n}} \frac{[x_i+y_k+(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+y_k-\delta]_{\nu_i}} \frac{[x_i-y_k]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-y_k-\beta_k\delta]_{\nu_i}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le i\le m\\ 1\le k\le n}} \frac{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-y_k-(\alpha_i+\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \frac{[-x_i+y_k-(\alpha_i-\beta_k)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+y_k-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}$$

$$= \prod_{p=1}^{6}[a_0+a_p]_{M-N} \sum_{0\le\kappa\le\lambda\le\beta} (-1)^{|\kappa|+|\beta-\lambda|} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A^-(y_k;\boldsymbol{b})_{\kappa_k} A^+(y_k+\lambda_k\delta;\boldsymbol{b})_{\beta_k-\lambda_k} C_{\lambda_k-\kappa_k}(y_k+\kappa_k\delta;\boldsymbol{b}|\boldsymbol{b_0})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[2y_k+2(\kappa_k-1)\delta]}{[2y_k-2\delta]} \frac{[2y_k+2\lambda_k\delta]}{[2y_k+2\beta_k\delta]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le k<l\le n} \frac{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta)\pm(y_l+\kappa_l\delta-\delta)]}{[(y_k-\delta)\pm(y_l-\delta)]} \frac{[(y_k+\lambda_k\delta)\pm(y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]}{[(y_k+\beta_k\delta)\pm(y_l+\beta_l\delta)]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le k,l\le n} \frac{[(y_k-\delta)\pm(y_l+\beta_l\delta)]}{[(y_k-\delta)\pm(y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]} \frac{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta)\pm(y_l+\lambda_l\delta)]}{[(y_k+\kappa_k\delta-\delta)\pm(y_l+\beta_l\delta)]} \frac{[y_k+y_l-2\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k+y_l+(\beta_l-1)\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \frac{[y_k-y_l-\beta_l\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k-y_l+\delta]_{\kappa_k}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le k,l\le n} \frac{[-y_k-y_l-(\beta_k+\beta_l)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k-y_l-(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}} \frac{[-y_k+y_l-\beta_k\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k+y_l+(\beta_l-\beta_k+1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\ 1\le i\le m}} \frac{[y_k+x_i+(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k+x_i-\delta]_{\kappa_k}} \frac{[y_k-x_i]_{\kappa_k}}{[y_k-x_i-\alpha_i\delta]_{\kappa_k}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{1\le k\le n\\ 1\le i\le m}} \frac{[-y_k-x_i-(\beta_k-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k-x_i-(\beta_k+\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}} \frac{[-y_k+x_i-(\beta_k-\alpha_i)\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}}{[-y_k+x_i-\beta_k\delta]_{\beta_k-\lambda_k}},$$

where $\boldsymbol{a_0}=(a_0,a_0,a_0,a_0), \boldsymbol{b_0}=(b_0,b_0,b_0,b_0)$ *and* $C_\sigma(z;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{c})$ *is defined in* (5.8).

If we set $\beta=0$ in Theorem 5.4, we obtain the following summation formula.

Corollary 5.5. *Let* M *be a non-negative integer. Take a multi-index* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^m$ *with* $|\alpha|=M$. *For a set of complex parameters* $\boldsymbol{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_7)$, *we assume the balancing condition* $\sum_{p=0}^{7}a_p=(4-2M)\delta$ *and* $a_7=a_0+\delta$. *For a set of variables* $x=(x_1,\dots,x_m)$, *the following identity holds*:

$$\sum_{0\le\nu\le\mu\le\alpha} (-1)^{|\nu|+|\alpha-\mu|} \prod_{i=1}^{m} A^-(x_i;\boldsymbol{a})_{\nu_i} A^+(x_i+\mu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a})_{\alpha_i-\mu_i} C_{\mu_i-\nu_i}(x_i+\nu_i\delta;\boldsymbol{a}|\boldsymbol{a_0})$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{[2x_i+2(\nu_i-1)\delta]}{[2x_i-2\delta]} \frac{[2x_i+2\mu_i\delta]}{[2x_i+2\alpha_i\delta]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le m} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\nu_j\delta-\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j-\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\mu_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\alpha_i\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i,j\le m} \frac{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]}{[(x_i-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]} \frac{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\mu_j\delta)]}{[(x_i+\nu_i\delta-\delta)\pm(x_j+\alpha_j\delta)]} \frac{[x_i+x_j-2\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-1)\delta]_{\nu_i}} \frac{[x_i-x_j-\alpha_j\delta]_{\nu_i}}{[x_i-x_j+\delta]_{\nu_i}}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i,j\le m} \frac{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i+\alpha_j)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i-x_j-(\alpha_i-1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}} \frac{[-x_i+x_j-\alpha_i\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}{[-x_i+x_j+(\alpha_j-\alpha_i+1)\delta]_{\alpha_i-\mu_i}}$$
$$= \prod_{p=1}^{6} [a_0+a_p]_M,$$

where $\boldsymbol{a_0} = (a_0, a_0, a_0, a_0)$.

It would be an interesting problem to clarify how Corollaries 5.3 and 5.5 are related to the Ruijsenaars – van Diejen difference operators in higher dimensions.

Acknowledgment

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 25400026 and (B) 15H03626.

References

[1] J. F. van Diejen: *Integrability of difference Calogero – Moser systems*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), 2983–3004.

[2] J. F. van Diejen and V. P. Spiridonov: *Elliptic Selberg integrals*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, 1083–1110.

[3] I. B. Frenkel and V. G. Turaev: *Elliptic solutions of the Yang – Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions*, in The Arnold – Gelfand mathematical seminars, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, 171–204.

[4] G. Frobenius: *Ueber die elliptischen Functionen zweiter Art*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **93** (1882), 53–68.

[5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman: *Basic hypergeometric series*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[6] Y. Kajihara: *Euler transformation formula for multiple basic hypergeometric series of type A and some applications*, Adv. Math. **187** (2004), 53–97.

[7] Y. Kajihara and M. Noumi: *Multiple elliptic hypergeometric series. An approach from the Cauchy determinant*, Indag. Math. **14** (2003), 395–421.

[8] Y. Komori and K. Hikami: *Quantum integrability of the generalized elliptic Ruijsenaars models*, J. Phys. A **30** (1997), 4341–4364.

[9] Y. Komori, M. Noumi and J. Shiraishi: *Kernel functions for difference operators of Ruijsenaars type and their applications*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **5** (2009), Paper 054, 40pp.

[10] Y. Masuda: *Kernel identities for van Diejen's q-difference operators and transformation formulas for multiple basic hypergeometric series*, Ramanujan J. **32** (2013), 281–314.

[11] E. Rains: *Transformations of elliptic hypergeometric integrals*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), 169–243.

[12] H. Rosengren: *Karlsson-Minton type hypergeometric functions on the root system C_n*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **281** (2003), 332–345.

[13] H. Rosengren: *Elliptic hypergeometric series on root systems*, Adv. Math. **181** (2004), 417–447.

[14] H. Rosengren: *New transformations for elliptic hypergeometric series on the root system A_n*, Ramanujan J. **12** (2006), 155–166.

[15] H. Rosengren and M. Schlosser: *On Warnaar's elliptic matrix inversion and Karlsson – Minton-type elliptic hypergeometric series*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **178** (2005), 377–391.

[16] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars: *Elliptic integrable systems of Calogero – Moser type*: *A Survey* (Notes by Y. Komori), in Elliptic Integrable Systems, Proceedings of the Workshop on Elliptic Integrable Systems (Kyoto, 2004), Rokko Lectures in Mathematics, Vol. 18, Kobe University, 2005, 201–221.

[17] S. O. Warnaar: *Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series*, Constr. Approx. **18** (2002), 479–502.